IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

THURSDAY, THE 8TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 19TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 2226 of 2007(M)

PETITIONER:

E.V. RAJAN,
PREVENTIVE OFFICER, EXCISE CIRCLE OFFICE,
WADAKKANCHERRY, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.JAJU BABU
SMT.M.U.VIJAYALAKSHMI

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE ASSISTANT EXCISE COMMISSIONER,
THRISSUR.

2. SHRI M.G.NARAYANAN,
PREVENTIVE OFFICER, EXCISE RANGE OFFICE,
VADANAPPILLY, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI.P.NANDAKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 08/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J

W.P.(C)NO. 2226 of 2007

Dated this the 8th day of February, 2007

JUDGMENT

This writ petition was filed challenging the order transferring the petitioner from Wadakkancherry to Chavakkad. Though this Court passed an interim order staying the order of transfer, since the substitute posted in his place has joined duty, the interim order did not come into effect. The petitioner subsequently felt that his grievance can be brought to the notice of the first respondent for considering his case for transfer and posting in any other Excise Range Office which would not cause inconvenience to him having regard to his native place. It is submitted that the petitioner is due to retire from service within two years and the respondents will be in a position to post him in a convenient place taking into consideration the transfer norms issued by the government.

2. I have heard the Government Pleader also.

3. The first respondent is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner as highlighted in Ext.P5 and pass orders as early as possible. In case any vacancy in Excise Ranges which are nearer to the native place of the petitioner arises, the request made by the petitioner as per Ext.P5 shall be turned in mind and appropriate decision taken before filling up those vacancies.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE

CSS